

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S MOTION
FOR RELIEF FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE
PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE (DKT. 2006)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed a Motion for Relief from Non-Dispositive
2 Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 2006) (the “Motion”).

3 Having considered the Motion, as well as any response thereto, the Court hereby
4 **SUSTAINS** Waymo’s objection and **GRANTS** the Motion. **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that
5 Magistrate Judge Corley’s October 16, 2017 Order Denying Waymo’s Motion for Uber’s Current
6 Source Code is VACATED and Waymo’s Motion to Compel (Dkt. 1977) is GRANTED in its
7 entirety.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: _____, 2017

11 _____
12 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
13 United States District Court Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28